

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/840,165	05/06/2004	Gunther Dreezen	3095.EEM	7511		
75	90 08/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
Charles W. Al	mer	THOMAS, ERIC W				
National Starch 10 Finderne Ave		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Bridgewater, N	J 08807		2831			
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/840,165	DREEZEN ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Eric W. Thomas	2831	*		

		2.10 11. 11.011.00			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover shee	et with the c	orrespondence	address
ГНЕ	REPLY FILED <u>19 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION	ON FOR ALI	LOWANCE.	
I. 🖾	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an ame otice of Appeal (with app	endment, affi peal fee) in c	idavit, or other evi compliance with 3	idence, which 7 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a)	\square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		•	
b)		Advisory Action, or (2) the later than SIX MONTHS fro	om the mailing	g date of the final re	jection.
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).	_		
nave l under set for nay r	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the th in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the correspon shortened statutory period r than three months after t	nding amount of I for reply origi	of the fee. The app inally set in the final	ropriate extension fee Office action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal o	
3. 🔲	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of	filing a brief,	will not be entere	ed because
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	-	•		
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below				
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by	materially red	ducing or simplify	ing the issues for
	· appeal; and/or				
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:		of finally reje	ected claims.	
1 🗆	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		e of Non-Co	mpliant Amendm	ent (PTOL -324)
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		e or 14011-00	mpliant Amendin	ent (FTOL-324).
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		a senarate	timely filed amon	dmont cancaling the
,. <u> </u>	non-allowable claim(s).	illowable il sublilitted ill	a separate,	uniely liled affieri	unient canceling the
7. 🗌	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			l be entered and	an explanation of
	Claim(s) objected to:				
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 4-21</u> .				
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>22-29</u> . DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut hafara ar an tha data	of filing a Ne	ation of Annual wi	ill not be entered
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons wh	y the affidav	it or other eviden	ce is necessary and
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections	under appea	al and/or appellan	nt fails to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the cl	laims after ei	ntry is below or at	ttached.
	UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
	The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.				wance because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(F10/36/06/01 P10-14	+4 <i>9) F</i> aper N	(S)	1.11
					W.THOMAS RY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Application No. 10/840,165

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claim 1, Nishizawa et al. disclose in the abstract a termination coating directly coating a surface mount ceramic capacitor comprising a thermosetting resin and conductive filler, the termination coating is in direct contact with the electrode. Nishizawa discloses the claimed invention except for the metallic filler is selected from the group consisting of copper flake, copper powder, silver plated copper, cobalt, indium, or mixtures thereof. Nishizawa disclose that the filler is not limited to any particular powder (as noted by applicant). It should also be noted that Nishizawa et al. disclose the powder used in the terminal electrode can be any known metal filler used in the ceramic capacitor art (see page 2 paragraph 3). Nishizawa discloses the filler can be a precious metal powder such as silver or palladium or a metal powder of nickel or the like (base metal). Prakash et al. teach in col. 1 lines 30-50, that it is common in the multilayer ceramic capacitor art to use copper powder in the external electrodes that directly connects to the capacitor. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a copper powder (the well-known material) in the terminations of Nishizawa, since copper is a well-known base metal having high electrical conductivity which is relatively less expensive than precious metals (silver, palladium) and copper exhibits higher electrical conductivity than nickel (nickel has a higher electrical resistance). Nishizawa et al. disclose the termination coating is cured at a temperature less than about 300°C".